

12637 & 14247 & 41348:

Drawdown from current location = 0.12 ft

Drawdown from proposed location = 1.82 ft

Net drawdown = **1.7 ft**

Domestic 32-28-35: Drawdown from current location = 0.24 ft

Drawdown from proposed location = 3.88 ft

Net drawdown = **3.6 ft**

Net drawdown exceeds the drawdown allowance for the domestic well in section 32-28-35. Critical well evaluation is necessary on that well.

Critical Well Evaluation:

Domestic 32-28-35:

Water Column = 146 ft (no log, assumed that the well is drilled to the bottom of formation)

DP = 3.64 ft (based upon 50 year Theis calculation using the above parameters)

DE = 56.1 ft (based upon water table declines from the GMD3 model over 25 years)

DT = 59.7 ft

EDC = $0.4 * 146 \text{ ft} = 58.4 \text{ ft}$

PDC = $146 \text{ ft} - 20 \text{ ft} = 126 \text{ ft}$

The economic drawdown constraint is more conservative, so it governs.

Total drawdown (59.7 ft) is greater than the EDC, so this well is **critical**.

Conclusion:

The proposed additional well creates 50 year drawdown affects exceeding the maximum allowable effects under the GMD3 guidelines on the following critical wells:

- Domestic 32-28-35

Annual pumping of water right number 27255 at the proposed rate and quantity is likely to cause impairment with the nearby domestic well. This effect can be mitigated so that the overall net Theis effect is with the 3.0 ft threshold if rate is limited to 700 gpm.